DRAFT TALKING POINTS

Panel Discussion at meeting of American Cancer Society

California Division Area III

Queen Mary, Long Beach

October 27,1982 11:15 a.m.

- I. GENERAL REMARKS ON 97TH CONGRESS
 - O FOR THOSE LIKE MYSELF WHO BELIEVE THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS AN IMPORTANT ROLE TO PLAY IN PROTECTING AND IMPROVING THE NATION'S HEALTH, THIS HAS BEEN A GREATLY FRUSTRATING CONGRESS.
 - O THE ADMINISTRATION'S INITIATIVES HAVE ALL BEEN
 DIRECTED AT REDUCING FEDERAL EXPENDITURES IN THE
 HEALTH AREA.
 - -- THE OSTENSIBLE REASON FOR THIS IS TO "BALANCE THE BUDGET" AND "CUT THE DEFICIT."
 - -- IN FACT, THE ADMINISTRATION'S REAL PURPOSE IS TO REDUCE THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT'S REVENUE BASE AND TO INCREASE MILITARY EXPENDITURES.
 - -- IT HAS SUCCEEDED AT BOTH OF THESE GOALS, AND THE

RESULT IS A CBO-PROJECTED DEFICIT FOR FY 1983 -AFTER ALL THE MEDICARE AND MEDICAID CUTS -- OF \$155
BILLION.

- O FOR THOSE OF US WHO DISAGREE WITH THIS RADICAL SHIFT IN PRIORITIES, THE NAME OF THE GAME HAS BEEN DAMAGE CONTROL. OUR "VICTORIES" OCCUR WHEN A PROGRAM IS NOT GUTTED.
 - -- WE STOPPED THE ADMINISTRATION FROM "CAPPING" THE
 MEDICAID PROGRAM AND THEREBY ENDING THIS ENTITLEMENT
 FOR THE POOR.
 - --- WE STOPPED THE ADMINISTRATION FROM DESTROYING ALL OF
 THE FEDERAL CATEGORICAL PROGRAMS THROUGH ITS BLOCK
 GRANT STRATEGY, PRESERVING PROGRAMS LIKE CHILDHOOD
 IMMUNIZATION AND MIGRANT HELATH CENTERS THAT WOULD
 HAVE DISAPPEARED IN A LARGE BLOCK GRANT.
 - -- WE DEFEATED THE ADMINISTRATION'S BALANCED BUDGET

 AMENDMENT, WHICH, AS A PRACTICAL MATTER, WOULD HAVE

 DECIMATED OUR HEALTH PROGRAMS.
- O HOWEVER, THE ADMINISTRATION SUCCEEDED IN DOING MAJOR DAMAGE TO HEALTH PROGRAMS.
 - -- FUNDING FOR ALL DISCRETIONARY HEALTH PROGRAMS,

INCLUDING THE REMAINING CATEGORICAL PROGRAMS AND THE NEW BLOCK GRANTS, DECLINED BY 14% BETWEEN FY 81 AND FY 83.

- -- FUNDING FOR HEALTH RESEARCH INCREASED ONLY 5.3% BETWEEN FY 81 AND FY 83.
- -- FEDERAL MEDICAID FUNDING WAS CUT \$2 BILLION IN FY
 82 AND FY 83 ALONE, AT THE SAME TIME THAT MANY
 STATES WERE STRUGGLING TO MEET THE DEMAND FOR
 SERVICES CAUSED BY THE RECESSION.
- -- MEDICARE OUTLAYS WERE CUT BY \$4.6 BILLION IN FY 82

 AND FY 83; IN THE NEXT TWO FISCAL YEARS, THE

 PROGRAM WILL BE CUT AN ADDITIONAL \$9.3 BILLION.

O HOW WE CAME TO THIS STATE OF AFFAIRS IS A REFLECTION

AS MUCH OF THE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS OF THE 97TH

CONGRESS AS THE REAGAN ADMINISTRATION'S COMMITMENT TO

SLASH SPENDING IN HEALTH AND SOCIAL PROGRAMS.

II. LEGISLATIVE PROCESS

- O IN THE PAST, THE AUTHORIZATION AND APPROPRIATION

 PROCESS DETERMINED WHAT PROGRAMS WERE BEGUN AND HOW

 MUCH FUNDING WOULD BE AVAILABLE.
 - * AUTHORIZING COMMITTEES CAREFULLY ASSESSED THE NEED FOR PROGRAMS AND MONITORED THEIR EFFECTIVENESS.
 - * APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEES WEIGHED COMPETING
 PRIORITIES AND ALLOCATED FUNDS BASED UPON A
 PROGRAM'S WORTH AND NEED.
 - * THE PROCESS USED TO WORK THAT WAY.
- O THE ELECTION OF PRESIDENT REAGAN AND CHANGE IN SENATE
 LEADERSHIP PROFOUNDLY ALTERED HOW CONGRESS WOULD
 ALLOCATED FUNDS TO HEALTH PROGRAMS.
- o WHEN THE REAGAN ADMINISTRATION ASSUMED OFFICE 21

 MONTHS AGO, ITS BASIC OBJECTIVE IN HEALTH WAS SIMPLE

 AND HARSH: CUT SPENDING AND BENEFITS.

- O INSTEAD OF USING THE TRADITIONAL

 APPROPRIATION-AUTHORIZATION PROCEDURE, REAGAN

 STRATEGISTS USED A RELATIVELY NEW PROCEDURE KNOWN AS

 THE BUDGET-RECONCILIATION PROCESS.
- O LET ME WALK YOU THROUGH THE PROCESS. YOU NEED TO UNDERSTAND THIS PROCEDURE IN ORDER TO PARTICIPATE FULLY IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF HEALTH LEGISLATION.
 - * IN JANUARY OR FEBRUARY THE PRESIDENT SUBMITS THE ADMINISTRATION'S BUDGET PROPOSALS TO THE CONGRESS.
 - * IN MARCH, THE AUTHORIZING COMMITTEES WITH

 JURISDICTION OVER PROGRAMS SUBMIT BUDGETARY

 RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. (FOR

 EXAMPLE, MY SUBCOMMITTEE MAKES RECOMMENDATIONS FOR

 PUBLIC HEALTH PROGRAMS, MEDICAID AND MEDICARE PART

 B.)
 - * THE BUDGET COMMITTEE THEN REVIEWS ALL COMMITTEE

 RECOMMENDATIONS, AND IN APRIL REPORTS THEIR

 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE FIRST CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

 ON THE BUDGET. THIS RESOLUTION:
 - -- SETS OVERALL REVENUE, SPENDING AND DEFICIT

- -- PROVIDES SPENDING LEVELS FOR SPECIFIC AREAS
 LIKE HEALTH.
- * THE CONGRESS DEBATES THIS AND ALTERNATIVE

 RESOLUTIONS. LAST JUNE AN ADMINISTRATION-BACKED

 BUDGET RESOLUTION FINALLY PASSED.
- * THE PROCESS I'VE JUST OUTLINED SOUNDS INNOCENT ENOUGH. THE BUDGET PROCESS WAS INTENDED TO SIMPLY SET GOALS AND TARGETS FOR THE AUTHORIZING COMMITTEES AND WOULD BE SUBJECT TO REVISIONS IN A SECOND RESOLUTION PASSED IN SEPTEMBER.
 - -- THE REAGAN ADMINISTRATION HAD DIFFERENT IDEAS
 ABOUT HOW THE PROCESS SHOULD WORK.
- * LAST YEAR THE ADMINISTRATION SUCCEEDED IN MAKING
 THE FIRST BUDGET RESOLUTION BINDING. CEILINGS IN
 HEALTH SPENDING WOULD BE ENFORCED THROUGH CUTS IN
 HEALTH PROGRAMS.
 - -- DEBATE ON THE RESOLUTION BECAME THE CRITICAL HEALTH POLICY ISSUE FOR THE ENTIRE YEAR.

- * THE ADMINISTRATION'S TACTICAL SUCCESS IN MAKING
 THE FIRST BUDGET RESOLUTION BINDING DRAMATICALLY
 SKEWED OUR DECISION-MAKING.
 - -- THE RESOLUTION WAS DEBATED IN MULTI-BILLION DOLLAR TERMS THAT FEW COULD COMPREHEND.
 - -- THERE WAS LITTLE UNDERSTANDING ABOUT THE EFFECT OF THE RESOLUTION ON INDIVIDUALS AND PROGRAMS.
 - -- FEW MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC OR CONGRESS WERE

 AWARE OF THE IMPACT OF PROPOSED BUDGET

 REDUCTIONS.
 - THE FEDERAL LEVEL ONLY SHIFTED COSTS TO THE STATES, LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, PUBLIC HOSPITALS OR BENEFICIARIES.
 - -- TO ILLUSTRATE LET ME REVIEW THE EFFECT OF THE BUDGET PROCESS ON SEVERAL CRITICAL HEALTH AREAS.

III. EFFECT OF SPECIFIC HEALTH CARE CUTS

1) MEDICAID

- * I'VE MENTIONED THE PRESIDENT HAS CUT MEDICAID
 OUTLAY BY \$13.9 BILLION THROUGH FY'85.
- * RELATED CUTS IN INCOME MAINTENANCE PROGRAMS

 LIKE AFDC HAVE CAUSED MORE THAN 1 MILLION POOR

 MOTHERS AND CHILDREN TO LOSE THEIR HEALTH CARE

 COVERAGE.
 - -- THE PARADOX OF A MOTHER CHOOSING TO QUIT

 WORK IN ORDER TO RETAIN A REDUCED LEVEL OF

 HEALTH BENEFITS FOR HER CHILD IS NOT AN

 UNCOMMON PHENONUM.
 - -- INDEED A STRANGE POLICY COMING FROM AN ADMINISTRATION THAT KEEPS TELLING US IT WANTS TO ENCOURAGE AMERICANS ON WELFARE TO GO BACK TO WORK.
- * THE PRESIDENT ALSO PROPOSED TO INCREASE STATE

 FLEXIBILITY IN ADMINISTERING THE MEDICAID

 PROGRAM. FLEXIBILITY UNDER REAGANOMICS HAS